GLIMMPSE Validation Report:

GLMM(F) Example 2. Power results for a Paired T-test

Authors: Sarah Kreidler

Run Date: 2012/12/05 12:46:47

1. Introduction

The following report contains validation results for the JavaStatistics library, a component of the GLIMMPSE software system. For more information about GLIMMPSE and related publications, please visit

http://samplesizeshop.org.

The automated validation tests shown below compare power values produced by the JavaStatistics library to published results and also to simulation. Sources for published values include POWERLIB (Johnson *et al.* 2007) and a SAS IML implementation of the methods described by Glueck and Muller (2003).

Validation results are listed in Section 3 of the report. Timing results show the calculation and simulation times for the overall experiment and the mean times per power calculation. Summary statistics show the maximum absolute deviation between the power value calculated by the JavaStatistics library and the results obtained from SAS or via simulation. The table in Section 3.3 shows the deviation values for each individual power comparison. Deviations larger than 10^{-6} from SAS power values and 0.05 for simulated power values are displayed in red.

2. Study Design

The study design in Example 2 is a one sample design with a pre- and post-measurement for each participant. We calculate power for a paired t-test comparing the mean responses at the pre- and post-measurements. We express the paired t-test as a general linear hypothesis in a multivariate linear model.

2.1. Inputs to the Power Calculation

2.1.1. List Inputs

Type I error rates

0.0500000

Beta scale values

0.0000000, 0.5000000, 1.0000000, 1.5000000, 2.0000000, 2.5000000

Sigma scale values

1.0000000

Per group sample size values

10

Statistical tests

UNIREP

Power methods

cond

2.1.2. Matrix Inputs

$$\underset{(1\times1)}{\text{Es}\,(\mathbf{X})} = \begin{bmatrix}1.0000\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underset{(1\times2)}{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix}0.0000 & 2.5000\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underset{(1\times1)}{\mathbf{C}} = \begin{bmatrix}1.0000\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underset{(2\times1)}{\mathbf{U}} = \begin{bmatrix}1.0000 \\ -1.0000\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underset{(1\times1)}{\mathbf{\Theta}_0} = \begin{bmatrix}0.0000\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underset{(2\times2)}{\mathbf{\Sigma}_E} = \begin{bmatrix}2.0000 & 1.0000 \\ 1.0000 & 2.0000\end{bmatrix}$$

3. Validation Results

A total of 6 power values were computed for this experiment.

3.1. Timing

	Total Time (seconds)	Mean Time (seconds)
Calculation	0.0000000	0.00E0
Simulation	0.8890000	1.48E-1

3.2. Summary Statistics

Max deviation from SAS	0.00000025
Max deviation from simulation	0.00445117

3.3. Full Validation Results

Power	SAS Power (deviation)	Sim Power (deviation)	Test	Sigma Scale	Beta Scale	Total N	Alpha
0.0500000	0.0500000 (0.0000000)	0.0500000 (0.0000000)	UNIREP	1.0000000	0.0000000	10	0.0500000
0.1705788	0.1705786 (0.0000001)	0.1673000 (0.0032788)	UNIREP	1.0000000	0.5000000	10	0.0500000
0.5143512	0.5143511 (0.0000001)	0.5099000 (0.0044512)	UNIREP	1.0000000	1.0000000	10	0.0500000
0.8464223	0.8464220 (0.0000003)	0.8500000 (0.0035777)	UNIREP	1.0000000	1.5000000	10	0.0500000
0.9771722	0.9771720 (0.0000002)	0.9775000 (0.0003278)	UNIREP	1.0000000	2.0000000	10	0.0500000
0.9985038	0.9985036 (0.0000002)	0.9983000 (0.0002038)	UNIREP	1.0000000	2.5000000	10	0.0500000

References

Glueck, D. H., & Muller, K. E. (2003). Adjusting power for a baseline covariate in linear models. *Statistics in Medicine*, *22*(16), 2535-2551.

Johnson, J. L., Muller, K. E., Slaughter, J. C., Gurka, M. J., & Gribbin, M. J. (2009). POWERLIB: SAS/IML Software for Computing Power in Multivariate Linear Models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *30*(5), 1-27.

Muller, K. E., & Stewart, P. W. (2006). Linear model theory: univariate, multivariate, and mixed models. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons.